



POLSKIE TOWARZYSTWO GEOGRAFICZNE · POLISH GEOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY

REVIEW FORM

Title of the article:

I. CATEGORY AND A GENERAL CHARACTER OF THE ARTICLE

You may select more than one answer (insert 'x' or put the answers **in bold**)

- Overview (systematising)
- Empirical (source – based on own research)
- Methodological
- Application
- Other (what kind?)

.....

II. PAPER EVALUATION (insert 'x' in the right column):

| Aspect evaluated | Yes | Partly | No | Does not apply |
|--|-----|--------|----|----------------|
| CONTENT VALUE | | | | |
| Does the article raise an important scientific problem? | | | | |
| Is this a new and original contribution? | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION | | | | |
| Does the title correspond to the content? | | | | |
| Is the purpose clearly stated in the abstract? | | | | |
| Does the abstract contain information about the methods used? | | | | |
| Does the abstract contain general conclusions? | | | | |
| Are the keywords well-chosen? | | | | |
| Is the purpose clearly and properly formulated? | | | | |
| Has the purpose of the work been achieved? | | | | |
| Are the methods and data correct and relevant to the problem solved? | | | | |
| Are the results presented fairly? Were they properly and accurately interpreted? | | | | |
| Were the results discussed considering relevant references to the source literature? | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Were clear conclusions relevant to the scope of the conducted research presented? | | | | |
| Does the article have correct proportions regarding introduction, a methodological part, presentation, discussion of results and summing-up? | | | | |
| Is the length of the article appropriate? | | | | |
| Are the references correct and necessary? | | | | |
| Are the figures and tables necessary, adequately described and is their quality good? | | | | |
| Does the article require stylistic and grammatical revisions? | | | | |
| READERS | | | | |
| Is the article interesting for local readers? | | | | |
| Is the article interesting for international public? | | | | |

III. CONCLUSION OF THE EVALUATION (insert 'x' or put the answer in bold)

The article reviewed:

- A) can be published in its present form or with minor revisions
- B) requires minor amendments by the author
- C) requires major revisions or reconstruction
- D) is not suitable for printing (provide your reasons).

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

In the case of B) or C) is a second review required?

| | |
|-----|----|
| YES | NO |
| | |

In the case of C) or D) please indicate obligatorily elements requiring amendments or reasons for rejection.

General remarks evaluating the entire text in terms of its content and formal value:

Detailed remarks (it is acceptable to make changes in the review mode in the file):

✂

.....
Data for the editors only:

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Reviewer's name and surname | |
| Affiliation | |
| Date | |

Signature: